Los bots Saratoga Unin High Sh. 1 District Repital + Argument in Front A Maasure A

Like Lewis Carroll's Humpty Dumpty in "Through the Looking Glass" saying, "When I use a word ... it means just what I choose it to mean —neither more nor less." the proponents assert this measure is not a tax increase. When the 2011 parcel tax expires, the parcel tax will be \$0.00. This measure is a tax increase.

Likewise, the assertion that this district needs funds beyond Sacramento's grasp misconstrues the district's funding. The district is an excess tax/basic aid district, primarily funded by local property taxes, not Sacramento. Local property taxes have historically provided steadily increasing locally controlled funding. The 2011 downturn created a short-term local revenue shortfall that was supplemented by a short term parcel tax – the now expiring parcel tax.

Our trip through the Looking Glass continues with the proponents' statement of decreased state support of education. Yet, Governor Brown, in his 2016-2017 budget summary, says, "As a result of both increased General Fund revenues and local property taxes, the Budget ... proposes an increased investment of \$5.4 billion in K-14 education."

Stepping back from the Looking Glass, we see that <u>this measure is actually a permanent tax increase</u> served-up in periodic renewals.

<u>Base your vote on facts, not distortion</u>. As the Governor observed, California now has increased tax revenues. For this district, increasing property values provide substantial automatic increases in locally controlled funding. In the current economic environment there is no need to renew the expiring temporary parcel tax.

You should vote NO on this measure.

FEB 2 2 2016 REGISTRAR OF VOTERS COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA Deputy 55

67

52

54

250

SANTA CLARA COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

REBUTTAL ARGUMENT DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OR OTHER PERSON(S) AUTHORIZED BY AUTHOR (Elections Code § 9164, 9167, 9504, 9600)										
The un	dersigned author(s) of the rebuttal to t	he argument in fav	or of against ballot measure	_ at the						
				tter)						
Spec	ial Mail Ballot election for t	he ^{Los} Gatos-Sa	ratoga Joint Union High Scho	ol Distric						
	(title of election)		(name of jurisdiction)							
to be h	eld on <u>May 3, 2016</u> hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the be									
	(date of election)		FILEI	D						
of	knowledge	e and belief.	FEB 2 2 2016							
	(his / her / their)									
1.	Ruh Zay	2/19/16 Date	REGISTRAR OF VOTERS COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA By Deputy	у						
	Registered Voter		Robert Zager	M / F						
	Type Title to Appear on Rebuttal		Print Name as Signature will appea							
			voter information pamphlet							
2.	Iseling allo Chu	2/19/16								
	Signature	Date								
	Registered Voter		Tsehua Alex Chen	M / F						
3.	Type Title to Appear on Rebuttal		Print Name as Signature will appea voter information pamphlet	r in						
5.	Signature	Date								
	0									
4	Type Title to Appear on Rebuttal		Print Name as Signature will appea voter information pamphlet	<u>M/F</u> rin						
4.	Signature	Date								
	Gignaturo	Bato								
				M/F						
5.	Type Title to Appear on Rebuttal		Print Name as Signature will appea voter information pamphlet	rin						
J.	Signature	Date								
	Tune Tile te Anness en Debuttet		Drint Name of Cignotium will and a	<u>M/F</u>						
	Type Title to Appear on Rebuttal		Print Name as Signature will appea voter information pamphlet	1 (11)						



SANTA CLARA COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

REBUTTAL SIGNER AUTHORIZATION

The author of an argument may sign the rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person to author/sign the rebuttal argument. Below is a sample of written authorization that is required when the author of the argument does not sign the rebuttal argument but instead has another person sign in their place. All required signatures must be <u>original</u> signatures.

Date of Election May 3 2016		5			E	\square
As a signer on the Argument <u>in favor of X ag</u> (circle o	ainst Measure <u>A</u> in the ne)		FEE	3 2 2	2016	B
La Cates Samlage Unich High Sch.) But (Jurisdiction)	r. J., I authorize	By	REGIST		OTERS A GLARA	siputy
	he rebuttal argument in my plac	ce.				
(new rebuttal signer)	Ribert Zeger					
Signature	Printed Name					